

Gen. Wood Talks on Student Camps
Commander of the Department of the East
gives his approval of this move to better
our defenses. Read his view in
next Sunday's SUN.



THE WEATHER FORECAST.
Fair to-day and to-morrow; light north-
erly winds.
Highest temperature yesterday, 77; lowest, 62.
Detailed weather, mail and marine reports on page 11.

VOL. LXXXII.—NO. 294.

NEW YORK, MONDAY, JUNE 21, 1915.—Copyright, 1915, by the Sun Printing and Publishing Association.

PRICE TWO CENTS.

EIGHT DROWNED BY A WAVE AT ATLANTIC CITY

100,000 Look On as Girl and
Seven Men Are Swept
to Death.

MANY UNCONSCIOUS
BROUGHT TO SAFETY

Thousands in Water When
Great Rollers Sweep
Down on Beach.

RESCUERS PERISH
TRYING TO SAVE LIFE

Five Other Drownings. Mak-
ing Total for Day
Thirteen.

ATLANTIC CITY, N. J., June 20.—Storm
currents, suddenly driving landward a
great ocean swell as disastrous as a
tidal wave, swept hundreds of bathers
from their footing here about 11:30
o'clock this morning and drowned eight
persons in the sight of 100,000 spectators
who looked on from the beach. It was
the fashionable bathing hour and the
beach was crowded when the giant
rollers swept in from the sea.

Of the eight whose lives were lost in
a disaster as unlooked for and as swift
as if the ocean bottom had suddenly
upheaved, several were volunteer life
savers, who died in trying to save men
and women who were being dragged out
to sea. The heroic efforts of life guards
and volunteers brought at least 100 im-
periled persons safely to shore.

Miles off the coast a summer storm,
developing with amazing rapidity and
violence, sent immense rollers against
the Atlantic City beaches. These im-
mense combers, coming at tremendous
speed, created eddies which took
hundreds of bathers unaware.

The Dead.
MISS MARIAN R. CRAMER, 18 years of
age, the daughter of James Cramer of
Jenkintown, Pa. She was a pupil at
Beechwood College, Jenkintown, and had
been spending a few days here. Her
body was not recovered.

JOHN LYNCH, 28 years of age, of 2199
Fourth street, Philadelphia, a lawyer.
His body was recovered.

CHARLES J. MATLOCK, 20 years of age, a
structural iron worker, of 3501 Wallace
street, Philadelphia. His body was not
recovered.

FRANK BRIGHAM, 16 years of age, 1402
South Broad street, Philadelphia, son of
Commodore Martin E. Brigham. His
body was not recovered.

PHILIP ARNOLD, 19 years of age, whose
father is a member of the clothing firm
of Arnold & Lauchheimer, Philadelphia.
His body was not recovered.

WILLIAM CROW, 48 years of age, married,
with a wife and three children, of
Belmont street, Philadelphia. His body
was recovered.

JAMES MCAY, 50 years of age, 2199
North Second street, Philadelphia, a
dentist. His body was recovered.

CHARLES GREEN, 44 years of age, a
fisherman, of 18 North Georgia avenue,
Atlantic City. His body was recovered.

Three persons are in the hospital
suffering from exhaustion. They are in a
serious condition, but probably will re-
cover. Two of them, Walter Margerum
and Edwin Craig, are life guards and
were very nearly drowned while at-
tempting the work of rescue. The third,
a Morris Shepsher of 1804 Spring Gar-
den street, Philadelphia.

In addition to these at least thirty
persons were carried to sea. They were
saved only because the life guards and
volunteers risked death time and
again. They were treated on the beach,
attended by physicians and sent to
their hotels or to outages.

The sudden coming of the combers,
the death and peril of the bathers, the
heroic efforts of the life savers and the
hundred work of resuscitation excited
the thousands that looked on. The spec-
tators at times became so unmanageable
that special policemen were forced to
charge into the crowds and drive them
back with bat and club.

With Incredible Suddenness.
The disaster occurred with incredible
suddenness. The great throng sunning
themselves on the sands or venturing
into the surf had no warning of real
danger. In bright sunshine and mild
air the pleasant beach swiftly became
a scene of panic and terror. Thousands
were frolicking in the warm water
flashed seaward, saw immense waves
sweeping toward them and fled for the
shore. Cries of alarm spread all along
the beach. Life guards and special
policemen hastily prepared boats and
sent them into the heavy seas. But
before their pluck and skill could be
utilized several persons had been
drowned. In the gallant effort to save
the life of one of the Philadelphians
were drowned.

Marian Cramer, the only woman lost,
was a student at Beechwood College,
Jenkintown. She had entered the surf
with her brother, W. Rogers Cramer,
a lawyer of Philadelphia, and with their
sister, Lillian Cramer. When the storm
currents swept in and developed in ir-
resistible force current Marian Cramer
and her brother were dashed apart. Mr.

Continued on Third Page.

BOY DIES IN \$1,000 FIRE.

Parents Are Injured in Blaze in
Brooklyn Tenement.

Herbert Gensen, 14, 18 Fourth avenue,
Brooklyn, was killed by smoke early
this morning in a \$1,000 fire which crept
up the elevator shaft from the cellar of
his home. His father, Gustave Gensen,
is in a serious condition in Seney Hos-
pital. Mrs. Anna Gensen, the mother,
was slightly burned.

The Gensens family lived on the top
floor of the four-story building. Her-
bert Gensen's body was only scorching.
He was found underneath his bed with a
sheet wrapped over his head.

The fire, which was near the Atlantic
avenue station of the Long Island Rail-
road, drew about 2,000 spectators. The
reserves were called to handle the crowd.
Two other families in the building es-
caped uninjured.

SIEGEL FACES JAIL TO-DAY.

Failed Merchant Says He Cannot
Increase His Offer.

GENESEE, N. Y., June 20.—Henry
Siegel and his counsel, John B. Stanch-
field, prepared to-night to make a fight
against representatives of the District
Attorney's office of New York to-morrow
morning, when Siegel will appear before
Supreme Court Justice Clark in the
Livingston county court house. Siegel
sent his plea of restitution to the 15,000
depositors in his failed department store
bank. A sentence of ten months in the
workhouse faces Siegel if his propo-
sition is not acceptable to the court. A
report tonight was to the effect that an
order of the court in the case said Siegel
would take his sentence.

"I cannot offer a cent more," said
Siegel when seen to-night. "If that is
not satisfactory I am sorry, but I guess
the best way is to go ahead and meet my
term. I expect to recast my propo-
sition in business some day and this only
defers it a little."

At the Big Tree Inn are two sets of
representatives of the depositors, one
ready to let Justice Clark take the case
and the other ready to let Siegel pay
\$150,000 in cash and pledge the profits
in a new mercantile venture upon which
he intends to launch, and the other
determined to oppose Siegel's release
from the suspended sentence. The latter
less a more substantial offer is
made. Assistant District Attorneys Ar-
thur C. Train and William Dean Embree,
who obtained Siegel's conviction last
November, will be on the side of the
dissatisfied depositors.

REPORT FRANK TAKEN FROM ATLANTA JAIL

Put on Train by Authorities—
Commutation of Sentence
Expected.

ATLANTA, Ga., June 20.—It is reported
to-night that Leo M. Frank was
taken from jail and placed on a train
leaving Atlanta at 7 o'clock to-night for
the State prison farm at Milledgeville.
Such action on the part of the authori-
ties would indicate that Gov. Slaton has
decided to commute the death sentence.
The Governor was due to make his
decision in the case before to-morrow.
He has given no hint as to his nature.

The delay in handing down the decision
was interpreted by friends as favor-
able to Frank. They insist that if the
Governor had not intended to commute
the sentence he would have announced
the decision earlier and thus have given
Frank an interval before to-day noon,
the time fixed for execution.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

Several hundred persons tried to gain
admittance to the jail today to see
Frank in person. The jail was crowded
and the authorities refused to let any
one in.

PROFESSORS' 'UNION' TO ACT ON NEARING

Lately Organized Body Likely
to Fight the Ousting of
U. of P. Teacher.

DISMISSAL IS CONDEMNED

College Officials Wait to Hear
Other Side of Case—Com-
ment Withheld.

The widespread feeling among uni-
versity professors and educators gen-
erally over the dismissal of Dr. Scott
Nearing by the trustees of the Uni-
versity of Pennsylvania brought to light
yesterday the existence of an organiza-
tion which is, in fact and theory, a pro-
fessors' union, formed for the express
purpose of securing to university and
college professors freedom of speech
and of protecting them against injustice
at the hands of unsympathetic boards of
trustees.

This association, or union, bears the
name "The American Association of
University Professors." It was organized
at the beginning of this year in or-
der to counteract what seemed to be
a dangerous growing tendency toward
ultra conservatism on the part of bodies
controlling the financial destinies of uni-
versities and colleges and powerfully in-
fluential in directing their policies. The
Scott Nearing case in the University of
Pennsylvania, an exact example of what
the association is bound to fight, will
give the organization an opportunity to
display its mettle and test its influence.

It was admitted yesterday by Colum-
bia University professors connected with
the association that an investigation of
the Scott Nearing case cannot be avoided
by the association. Its president is
Prof. John Dewey of Columbia, who has
under him an advisory council of thirty
professors. Prof. Dewey said yester-
day that he was not sufficiently informed
to take the details of the differences be-
tween Dr. Nearing and the trustees of
the University of Pennsylvania to enable
him to say whether the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing from the teaching staff of the
Wharton school would positively be
taken as a challenge by the American
Association of University Professors, but
he had little doubt that such an in-
vestigation would be called for.

Investigation Probable.
"I have just heard of the dismissal
of Dr. Nearing, so I am not familiar
with the particulars of the case," said
Prof. Dewey. "I do not know Dr. Near-
ing personally. About a year ago I read
some articles in Philadelphia papers
which indicated that his views and
methods were in direct conflict with
the university. But I have not read
or heard any more about the matter
and have no doubt that we will
investigate the case if it is brought
before us. That could be done by
any member of the association or
by any one else, I suppose."

"We have about 1,000 professors in
the association. I cannot say whether
or not Dr. Nearing is a member. The
chairman of our committee on academic
freedom is Prof. Edwin J. A. Seligman
of Columbia, and the matter will
come before him and his committee for
consideration."

Prof. Seligman is spending his sum-
mer vacation at Lake Placid, N. Y., and
was not accessible yesterday by tele-
phone or by long distance telephone.
Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

Most of the Columbia professors in-
terviewed yesterday made it instantly
plain that so far as they understood the
facts they believed the dismissal of Dr.
Nearing to be a personal matter and
a great blow struck at academic
freedom as well. Many of them said
that they had been told that the case
with Nearing had been done with the
utmost freedom provided by the uni-
versity. They were sure that the uni-
versity's opinion came to about this, that
Dr. Nearing had been dismissed for
his political views and that the uni-
versity's opinion was not based on his
teaching or on his personal conduct.

YIELDING TO U. S. OPPOSED IN BERLIN